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“Transylvanian algorithmic dance”
Overview

- educational principles
  - study: on the role of senses
  - study: including the kinesthetic sense
  - study: dancing algorithms
- study: humanities vs. science
  - study: selective hiding
  - study: intercultural informatics education

<<<<artistically enhanced interactive e-learning environment>>>>

- study: humanities vs. science
  - study: selective hiding
  - study: intercultural informatics education
Multi-Sensory informatics education

- 2006 – 2016
- 2013: Informatics Europe
  - Best Practices in Education Award
Guidelines

Informatics Europe & ACM Europe Working Group on Informatics Education

- **Computational thinking** is an important ability that all people should possess
- Informatics-based concepts, abilities and skills are teachable, and must be included in the primary and particularly in the secondary school curriculum
More senses more efficient learning

- More senses – more information
- Different students – different dominant senses
- Different students – different “intelligences”
- Multiple-senses – more pathways of locating the stored information
- Multiple-senses – distributed loading
- Combined senses – more efficient learning process
Increasing student motivation

- Purposeful focus on **arousing and sustaining** students’ motivation
  - Principle of genuine active involvement
  - Principle of moderate progressive challenge
  - Principle of gradual shift from concrete to abstract.
1. Learning elementary algorithms

- Seeing, hearing and feeling the pulsation of the algorithms
  - loudspeaker for the loop skeletons
  - drumming the loop skeleton in
2. Teaching-learning recursion

- Students are invited to play “recursive scenarios”
  - exploring visual, auditory and *kinaesthetic* senses
  - the application generates “the melody line” of the recursive procedures/functions
3. Learning sorting algorithms

- Additional multi-sensory elements through arts
  - dance, music, rhythm, theatrical role-playing
Intercultural informatics education
Quick-sort with “kükülőmenti legényes”

We wait patiently for the Quicksort but still don't think it is danceable....

Yes I know I claimed that it would be impossible, or if possible the result would be a modern dance the like of which we have not seen, but.... they have done it.

... here is the ingenious culmination - the Quicksort, the most difficult of algorithms, complete with hats as pointers.
youthube.com/user/AlgoRythmics (2011-16)

- Feedback (218 countries)
  - views: 3,706,792
  - likes: 26,023
  - SHARES: 13,821

Recent comments

- This has got to be the greatest math’s lesson I’ve ever had!
- I've never enjoyed studying for finals more... I wish my teacher had used this in class.
- This is awesome! Make me want to go implement Quick-sort in every language I know even a little bit.
- The only true international language!
- Who said programming is boring?
- Showed it to the kids and they loved it!
Online e-learning environment
http://algo-rythmics.ms.sapientia.ro/

White-box task

Animation

Simulation

Black-box task

DEMO
Implemented educational principles

- Generating and sustaining motivation
  - surprising science-art and modern-traditional combinations
  - moderate-progressive challenge
  - genuine active involvement
- Balanced involvement of both sides (academic/artistic) of the brain
- Sequenced multiple representations (gradual shift from concrete to abstract)
  - dancer / white-box / black-box sequences
4. The challenge of promoting algorithmic thinking of both sciences and humanities oriented learners

- THE “TWO CULTURES”
  - Efficient learning environment for both learning communities

- EXPERIMENT
  - Participants:
    - 25 sciences oriented students
    - 23 humanities oriented students
Results and Conclusions

questionnaires to detect student motivation
5. Teaching “Not Blind Learners” to Program “Blind Computers”

- Compared to humans, computers are blind in many ways
  - Visualizing information that has extra meanings for learners
  - Difficult to follow a strict computer algorithm

- Potential side-effects of substituting “blind computers” with “not blind humans”
Selective Hiding for Improved Algorithmic Visualization

- Research questions:
  - Could it happen that information that might have extra meanings for human viewers obstructs them in following strict computer algorithms?
  - skip the explicit comparing operation if the current element-pair had to be swapped
  - omit to deal with the next element-pair if these are in the right order
  - Can wisely applied hiding result in more effective algorithm visualization?
Procedure

- “Listen to It” (A+B)
  - briefly: what a sorting algorithm means?
- “Watch It (A+B)"
  - folk-dance illustration + computer animation
- “Try It”
  - (A) to orchestrate the algorithm on a random sequence stored in a white-array
  - (B) to orchestrate the algorithm on a random sequence stored in a black-array
## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time /step</th>
<th>Hel p</th>
<th>Errors</th>
<th>WAS</th>
<th>WPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WPN</th>
<th>WAS</th>
<th></th>
<th>WPS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Correct next element-pair / Incorrect operation
   a) S in place of C
   b) C in place of S

2. Wrong element-pair was selected when the next operation had to be
   a) C
   b) S
### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (3)

- **Time/step:**
  - less distracting factors
  - focusing on the sorting strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Time/step</th>
<th>Help</th>
<th>Errors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

- Students working on white-arrays had significantly more problems with comparing operations than those who had to sort invisible sequences
  - They skipped more frequently comparing operations
- A plausible explanation
  - Students who saw the numbers performed the comparing operation in their mind, implicitly
  - Students who did not see the numbers were forced to explicitly perform the comparing operations
Same phenomenon in other circumstances
“Too much visualized information can be harmful”

From the perspective of epistemic fidelity theory:
1. Invisibility could contribute to higher epistemic fidelity
2. Wisely applied hiding results in higher epistemic fidelity especially when learners are substituting computers in their algorithm processor role
3. High epistemic fidelity AV systems supports not blind learners in identifying with blind-computer
6. Intercultural Computer Science Education

- Key players in the twenty-first century
  - Inter- and Multi-cultural Education (IcE, McE)
  - Computer Science (CS) and Computer Science Education (CSE)
Intercultural Computer Science Education

- Pioneering initiative
  - Current literature lacks studies that address CSE from the perspective of IcE.

- In this study we propose to investigate whether students’ ethnic background might influence (or perturb) their approach to the scientific content in terms of the cultural frame (context) in which it is presented.
Intercultural Computer Science Education

- Transylvania (Romania)
  - Romanian, Hungarian, German, Gipsy, etc
  - “Opposite cultures”: Romanian and Hungarian
  - Mono-, bi- and multicultural educational institutions

- The core research question
  - How might the existing cultural concepts held by students (from mono- and bi-cultural institutions) affect their appreciation of sorting algorithms presented in a cultural context different to their own?
Participants

- Ninety-six 9th grade novice computer science students
  - School_1: 31 Romanian students, group monoRO
  - School_2: 21 Hungarian students, group monoHU
  - School_3:
    - 18 Romanian students, group biRO
    - 26 Hungarian students, group biHU

- After: introduced to programming
- Before: studying sorting algorithms
Procedure

“I liked this algorithm-dance show” (1: Strongly disagree … 7: Strongly agree)

“Which algorithm performed the sorting-task most efficiently?
GIPSY / ROMANIAN / HUNGARIAN”

“Which algorithm performed the sorting-task most efficiently?
BUBBLE-sort / SELECTION-sort / INSERTION-sort”

The easiest one: “Selection-sort with GIPSY folk-dance /
Insertion-sort with ROMANIAN folk-dance / Bubble-sort with HUNGARIAN folk-dance”
Response scores with respect to the algorithm-dance shows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gipsy</th>
<th>Romanian</th>
<th>Hungarian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>5,24</td>
<td>5,65</td>
<td>5,16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>5,45</td>
<td>5,40</td>
<td>5,96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monoRO</td>
<td>5,23</td>
<td>5,55</td>
<td>5,58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monoHU</td>
<td>5,10</td>
<td>5,43</td>
<td>5,43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biRO</td>
<td>5,28</td>
<td>5,83</td>
<td>4,44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biHU</td>
<td>5,73</td>
<td>5,38</td>
<td>6,38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most efficient algorithm – Culture

Coding
- 1: “Own” – most efficient
- -1: “Opposite” – most efficient
- 0: “Neutral” – most efficient

Means
- monoRO: [0] 11(own), 11(opposite), 9(neutral)
- monoHU: [-0.04] 9(own), 10(opposite), 2(neutral)
- biRO: [0.72] 14(own), 1(opposite), 3(neutral)
- biHU: [0.42] 16(own), 5(opposite), 5(neutral)
Most easiest algorithm – Culture

- Coding
  - 1: “Own” – most easiest
  - -1: “Opposite” – most easiest
  - 0: “Neutral” – most easiest

- Means
  - monoRO: [-0.09] 11(own), 14(opposite), 6(neutral)
  - monoHU: [-0.04] 7(own), 8(opposite), 6(neutral)
  - biRO: [0.61] 12(own), 1(opposite), 5(neutral)
  - biHU: [0.19] 12(own), 7(opposite), 7(neutral)
Performance results

Comparing students’ performance results with respect to their white/black-box tasks we did not find any significant differences.

- mono-bi
- monoRO-biRO
- monoHU-biHU
- boys-girls
CONCLUSIONS

- Research results
  - students’ culture related concepts and feelings may even influence the way they relate to the scientific content
  - The bi-cultural character of an educational institution does not promote ethno-relativism implicitly
  - It can polarize differences
  - The mono-cultural character of an educational institution does not promote ethno-centrism implicitly
  - Carefully designed IcCSE could have potential to move students from ethnocentrism to ethno-relativism
FUTURE PLANS

- Other topics
  - searching algorithms (linear/binary)
  - parallel algorithms (merge/quick-sort)
  - to illustrate programming strategies
  - greedy, backtracking, dynamic programming, etc.
  - bicultural merge-sort
BBC Documentary

The Secret Rules of Modern Living: Algorithms

Thank you for your attention